

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EIS SUMMARY.....	ES-1
ES.1 Project Description.....	ES-1
ES.2 Purpose and Need.....	ES-2
ES.2.1 Project Needs	ES-2
ES.2.2 Project Purpose	ES-4
ES.2.3 Performance Measures for Satisfying Purpose and Need.....	ES-4
ES.3 Alternatives	ES-4
ES.3.1 Alternatives Development and Screening.....	ES-4
ES.3.2 Description of DEIS Alternatives	ES-7
ES.3.3 Development and Description of Central Alternative 1B Modified (Selected)	ES-10
ES.4 Public Involvement and Agency Coordination.....	ES-12
ES.4.1 Public Involvement	ES-12
ES.4.2 Agency Coordination.....	ES-16
ES.5 Comparison of Alternative Impacts and Identification of the Selected Alternative	ES-17
ES.5.1 No Build Alternative	ES-17
ES.5.2 West Alternative 1	ES-20
ES.5.3 West Alternative 2.....	ES-20
ES.5.4 Central Alternatives 1A and 1B (Preferred)	ES-21
ES.5.5 Central Alternative 1B Modified (Selected).....	ES-22
ES.5.6 Identification of the Selected Alternative	ES-23
ES.6 Mitigation and Commitments.....	ES-24
ES.7 Areas of Controversy	ES-29
ES.8 Issues to be Resolved	ES-29
 CHAPTER 1 – PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY	 1-1
1.1 Project Description.....	1-1
1.2 History of I-69.....	1-3
1.2.1 I-69 Route Designation.....	1-3
1.2.2 National I-69 Corridor Studies	1-5
1.2.3 I-69 SIU #4 Studies.....	1-7
1.2.4 I-69 SIU #3 and SIU #5 Status.....	1-7
 CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED	 2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Project Needs.....	2-3
2.2.1 Lack of National I-69 Corridor System Linkage.....	2-3
2.2.2 High Cost of Maintaining Cross-River Mobility on Existing Facilities	2-4

2.2.3	Unacceptable Level of Service for Cross-River Traffic	2-4
2.2.4	High Crash Locations in the I-69/US 41 Corridor	2-5
2.3	Project Purposes.....	2-8
2.4	Performance Measures for Satisfying Purpose and Need	2-8
CHAPTER 3 – ALTERNATIVES.....		3-1
3.1	Identification of Range of Alternatives	3-1
3.2	Alternatives Screening.....	3-3
3.2.1	Corridor Screening	3-3
3.2.2	DEIS Alternatives Development	3-13
3.3	Description of DEIS Alternatives.....	3-20
3.3.1	No Build Alternative	3-22
3.3.2	West Alternative 1	3-22
3.3.3	West Alternative 2.....	3-23
3.3.4	Central Alternatives 1A and 1B (Preferred)	3-26
3.4	Development and Description of Central Alternative 1B Modified (Selected).....	3-26
3.4.1	Value Engineering Study	3-27
3.4.2	Section 1 Preliminary Design.....	3-27
3.4.3	Financial Plan and Identification of the Selected Alternative	3-27
3.4.4	Design Modifications	3-28
CHAPTER 4 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....		4-1
4.1	Traffic and Transportation	4-3
4.1.1	Roadway System and Traffic Operations	4-3
4.1.2	Safety	4-15
4.1.3	Access	4-18
4.1.4	Public Transportation.....	4-24
4.1.5	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	4-27
4.1.6	Ohio River Navigation.....	4-34
4.2	Social	4-37
4.2.1	Population and Housing.....	4-37
4.2.2	Neighborhoods and Community Cohesion	4-40
4.2.3	Community Facilities and Services	4-45
4.2.4	Land Use and Zoning.....	4-49
4.2.5	Managed Lands	4-60
4.2.6	Environmental Justice/Title VI	4-64
4.2.7	Right-of-Way and Relocations.....	4-88
4.2.8	Visual/Aesthetics	4-92
4.2.9	Air Quality	4-114
4.2.10	Noise and Vibration	4-119
4.2.11	Farmland.....	4-134

4.2.12	Energy	4-141
4.2.13	Hazardous Materials	4-143
4.3	Economic	4-145
4.3.1	Employment and Income.....	4-145
4.3.2	Businesses	4-148
4.3.3	Tax Revenue.....	4-150
4.4	Natural Environment.....	4-153
4.4.1	Geology/Soils	4-153
4.4.2	Water Resources	4-157
4.4.3	Floodplains/Floodways.....	4-168
4.4.4	Wetlands	4-175
4.4.5	Terrestrial Wildlife and Habitat	4-180
4.4.6	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-191
4.5	Cultural Resources.....	4-209
4.5.1	Area of Potential Effects.....	4-210
4.5.2	Aboveground Historic Resources	4-211
4.5.3	Archaeological Resources	4-225
4.6	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts	4-227
4.6.1	Indirect Impacts.....	4-227
4.6.2	Cumulative Impacts	4-241
4.7	Construction Impacts.....	4-253
4.7.1	Water Quality, Wetlands, and Erosion Control	4-253
4.7.2	Noise and Vibration	4-254
4.7.3	Air Quality	4-254
4.7.4	Solid Waste Disposal.....	4-255
4.7.5	Borrow and Excess Excavation Sites	4-255
4.7.6	Maintenance of Traffic	4-255
4.7.7	Demolition of US 41 Southbound Bridge	4-255
4.7.8	Ohio River Navigation.....	4-256
4.8	Project Costs, Revenue, and Financial Feasibility.....	4-256
4.8.1	Project Costs.....	4-257
4.8.2	Tolling	4-259
4.8.3	Financial Feasibility.....	4-262
4.9	Permits	4-262
4.10	Short-Term Use of Environment Versus Long-Term Productivity.....	4-264
4.11	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	4-264
CHAPTER 5 – SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) RESOURCES.....		5-1
5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.1.1	Section 4(f).....	5-1
5.1.2	Section 6(f).....	5-5

5.2	Section 4(f) Use of Public Parks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife/Waterfowl Refuges.....	5-5
5.2.1	Anthony C. Oates Memorial Park.....	5-6
5.2.2	John James Audobon State Park.....	5-7
5.2.3	Atkinson Park.....	5-9
5.2.4	Henderson Schools’ Athletic Facilities.....	5-10
5.2.5	Freedom Park and Donald “Hugh” McCormick Henderson County Fairgrounds.....	5-11
5.2.6	Green River State Forest.....	5-12
5.2.7	Green River National Wildlife Refuge.....	5-13
5.2.7	Summary of Section 4(f) Use of Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges.....	5-17
5.3	Historic Resources	5-17
5.3.1	John James Audubon State Park.....	5-18
5.3.2	Audubon Memorial Bridge/Northbound US 41 Bridge.....	5-18
5.3.3	Southbound US 41 Bridge.....	5-21
5.3.4	Henry Barret Farm.....	5-22
5.3.5	Jackson McClain Property	5-23
5.3.6	Ellis-Neville/Lee Baskett House	5-25
5.3.7	William Soaper Farm.....	5-27
5.3.8	Ben Kimsey Farm.....	5-29
5.3.9	Summary of Section 4(f) Use of Historic Resources.....	5-30
5.4	Archaeological Resources.....	5-31
5.5	Section 4(f) Evaluation.....	5-31
5.5.1	Atkinson Park.....	5-31
5.5.2	Audubon Memorial Bridge/Northbound US 41 Bridge and Southbound US 41 Bridge – Programmatic Evaluation for Historic Bridges	5-32
5.5.3	Green River National Wildlife Refuge.....	5-43
5.6	Section 6(f) Resources	5-43
5.6.1	John James Audubon State Park.....	5-43
5.6.2	Atkinson Park.....	5-44

**CHAPTER 6 – COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES AND IDENTIFICATION
OF A SELECTED ALTERNATIVE..... 6-1**

6.1	Summary of Impacts.....	6-2
6.1.1	No Build Alternative	6-2
6.1.2	West Alternative 1	6-2
6.1.3	West Alternative 2.....	6-5
6.1.4	Central Alternatives 1A and 1B (Preferred)	6-6
6.1.5	Central Alternative 1B Modified (Selected).....	6-6
6.2	Identification of the Selected Alternative.....	6-7

CHAPTER 7 – MITIGATION AND COMMITMENTS	7-1
7.1 Transportation.....	7-1
7.1.1 Traffic During Construction	7-1
7.1.2 Emergency Management Coordination.....	7-2
7.1.3 Local Service Roads.....	7-2
7.1.4 Road Closures.....	7-2
7.1.5 Pedestrian and Bicycle Access.....	7-2
7.1.6 Ohio River Navigation.....	7-2
7.1.7 Southbound US 41 Bridge.....	7-2
7.2 Relocations.....	7-2
7.3 Environmental Justice/Title VI.....	7-3
7.3.1 Tolling	7-3
7.3.2 Transit.....	7-3
7.4 Visual.....	7-3
7.5 Noise	7-4
7.5.1 Geometrics	7-4
7.5.2 Abatement Measures.....	7-4
7.5.3 Construction Noise.....	7-4
7.6 Streams and other Surface Waters.....	7-5
7.6.1 Stream Avoidance and Minimization	7-5
7.6.2 Stream Mitigation and Relocations	7-5
7.6.3 Outstanding Surface Water Resource	7-6
7.6.4 Erosion Control and Stormwater Pollution Prevention	7-6
7.6.5 Floodways/Floodplains.....	7-6
7.7 Wetlands.....	7-6
7.7.1 Wetlands Avoidance and Minimization.....	7-6
7.7.2 Wetland Mitigation and Monitoring Plans.....	7-7
7.8 Non-Wetland Forested Floodplains	7-8
7.9 Threatened and Endangered Species	7-8
7.9.1 Mussels.....	7-8
7.9.2 Bats	7-9
7.9.3 Least Tern.....	7-10
7.10 Cultural Resources.....	7-10
7.10.1 Historic Properties.....	7-10
7.10.2 Archaeological Resources	7-11
7.11 Groundwater and Hazardous Materials	7-11
7.11.1 Groundwater Protection Plan.....	7-11
7.11.2 Contaminated Soil, Groundwater, and/or Underground Storage Tanks.....	7-12
7.11.3 Demolition	7-12
7.11.4 Landfills.....	7-12
7.11.5 Spill Plan	7-12
7.11.6 Water Wells.....	7-12
7.11.7 Geotechnical Boreholes	7-12

7.11.8	Petroleum Wells.....	7-13
7.11.9	Dewatering.....	7-13
7.12	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts.....	7-13
7.13	Farmland.....	7-13
7.14	Migratory Birds.....	7-14
7.15	Wildlife Passage.....	7-14
7.16	Air Quality.....	7-14
7.17	Green River National Wildlife Refuge.....	7-14
7.18	Final Design.....	7-14

CHAPTER 8 – PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION 8-1

8.1	Public Involvement.....	8-1
8.1.1	Advisory Committees and Stakeholder Outreach.....	8-2
8.1.2	Public Meetings.....	8-4
8.1.3	Environmental Justice Outreach.....	8-12
8.1.4	Public Information Tools and Targeted Outreach.....	8-15
8.2	Agency Coordination.....	8-18
8.2.1	Notice of Intent and Early Coordination.....	8-18
8.2.2	Interagency Advisory Committee.....	8-20
8.2.3	Additional Agency Coordination.....	8-24

CHAPTER 9 – LIST OF PREPARERS..... 9-1

CHAPTER 10 – DISTRIBUTION OF THE FEIS AND ROD.....10-1

CHAPTER 11 – GLOSSARY AND LIST OF ACRONYMS.....11-1

11.1	Glossary.....	11-1
11.2	Acronyms.....	11-11

CHAPTER 12 – INDEX.....12-1

CHAPTER 13 – LITERATURE CITED13-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES.1-1.	Project Area.....	ES-3
Figure ES.3-1.	DEIS Alternatives.....	ES-8
Figure 1.1-1.	Project Area.....	1-4
Figure 2.1-1.	Purpose and Need Project Area.....	2-2
Figure 2.2-1.	Level of Service.....	2-6
Figure 3.1-1.	Range of Alternatives.....	3-2
Figure 3.2-1.	Screening Report Corridors Recommended for Further Evaluation.....	3-12
Figure 3.2-2.	US 41 and I-69 Bridge Scenarios.....	3-14
Figure 3.3-1.	DEIS Alternatives.....	3-21

Figure 3.3-2. West Alternative 1 and Central Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 1B Modified Typical Sections	3-24
Figure 3.3-3. West Alternative 2 Typical Sections	3-25
Figure 4.1-1. Roadway Functional Classification	4-5
Figure 4.1-2. Level of Service (2045) – West Alternative 1.....	4-12
Figure 4.1-3. Level of Service (2045) – West Alternative 2.....	4-13
Figure 4.1-4a. Level of Service (2045) – Central Alternatives 1A and 1B	4-14
Figure 4.1-4b. Level of Service (2045) – Central Alternative 1B Modified.....	4-16
Figure 4.1-5. Metropolitan Evansville Transit System Route Map	4-24
Figure 4.1-6. Henderson Area Rapid Transit Route Map	4-25
Figure 4.1-7. Existing and Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	4-28
Figure 4.2-1. Population Comparisons.....	4-38
Figure 4.2-2. Population Trends	4-38
Figure 4.2-3. Existing Land Use for Project Area	4-50
Figure 4.2-4. Existing Land Use.....	4-51
Figure 4.2-5. Planned Land Use.....	4-53
Figure 4.2-6. Zoning	4-54
Figure 4.2-7. U.S. Census Tracts in the Project Area	4-67
Figure 4.2-8. Affected Communities that Meet Environmental Justice Threshold in the Project Area Community of Comparison.....	4-71
Figure 4.2-9. Affected Communities that Meet Environmental Justice Threshold in the EMPO Travel Demand Model Area Community of Comparison.....	4-73
Figure 4.2-10a. Affected Communities within West Alternatives 1 and 2 and Central Alternatives 1A and 2B.....	4-76
Figure 4.2-10b. Affected Communities within Central Alternative 1B Modified.....	4-77
Figure 4.2-11a. Area of Visual Effect – West Alternatives 1 and 2 and Central Alternatives 1A and 2B	4-94
Figure 4.2-11b. Area of Visual Effect – Central Alternative 1B Modified.....	4-95
Figure 4.2-12a. Landscape Units – West Alternatives 1 and 2 and Central Alternatives 1A and 1B	4-96
Figure 4.2-12b. Landscape Units – Central Alternative 1B Modified	4-97
Figure 4.2-13a. Key Views – Area of Visual Effect – South – West Alternatives 1 and 2 and Central Alternatives 1A and 1B.....	4-100
Figure 4.2-13b. Key Views – Area of Visual Effect – South – Central Alternative 1B Modified.....	4-101
Figure 4.2-14a. Key Views – Area of Visual Effect – North – West Alternatives 1 and 2 and Central Alternatives 1A and 2B.....	4-102
Figure 4.2-14b. Key Views – Area of Visual Effect – North – Central Alternative 1B Modified.....	4-103
Figure 4.2-15. Existing US 41 Bridges.....	4-110
Figure 4.2-16. Visual Quality of the Cable-Stayed Bridge (West Alternative 1)	4-110
Figure 4.2-17. Visual Quality of the Cable-Stayed Bridge (West Alternative 2)	4-110

Figure 4.2-18. Visual Quality of the Cable-Stayed Bridge (Central Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 1B Modified).....	4-111
Figure 4.2-19. Visual Quality of the Concrete Box Beam Bridge (West Alternative 1)	4-111
Figure 4.2-20. Visual Quality of the Concrete Box Beam Bridge (West Alternative 2)	4-111
Figure 4.2-21. Visual Quality of the Concrete Box Beam Bridge (Central Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 1B Modified).....	4-111
Figure 4.2-22. Visual Quality of the Tied-Arch Bridge with Diagonal Hangers (West Alternative 1)	4-112
Figure 4.2-23. Visual Quality of the Tied-Arch Bridge with Vertical Hangers (West Alternative 1)	4-112
Figure 4.2-24. Visual Quality of the Tied-Arch Bridge with Diagonal Hangers (West Alternative 2)	4-112
Figure 4.2-25. Visual Quality of the Tied-Arch Bridge with Vertical Hangers (West Alternative 2)	4-112
Figure 4.2-26. Visual Quality of the Tied-Arch Bridge with Diagonal Hangers (Central Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 1B Modified).....	4-113
Figure 4.2-27. Visual Quality of the Tied-Arch Bridge with Vertical Hangers (Central Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 1B Modified).....	4-113
Figure 4.2-28. Field Noise Measurement Locations	4-121
Figure 4.2-29. West Alternative 1 – Summary of Barrier Analyses.....	4-128
Figure 4.2-30. West Alternative 2 – Summary of Barrier Analyses.....	4-129
Figure 4.2-31a. Central Alternatives 1A and 1B – Summary of Barrier Analyses	4-131
Figure 4.2-31b. Central Alternatives 1B Modified – Summary of Barrier Analyses.....	4-133
Figure 4.2-32. Overview of Farms in the Project Area.....	4-136
Figure 4.2-33. Land Protected by the FPPA in the Project Area.....	4-137
Figure 4.3-1. Employment by Industry for Vanderburgh and Henderson Counties	4-146
Figure 4.4-1. Groundwater Resources.....	4-164
Figure 4.4-2. Floodplains and Floodways within the Project Area.....	4-170
Figure 4.5-1a. Map of APE and Historic Properties – West Alternatives 1 and 2 and Central Alternatives 1A and 1B	4-213
Figure 4.5-1b. Map of APE and Historic Properties – Central Alternative 1B Modified	4-214
Figure 4.6-1a. Study Areas Considered for Indirect Impacts (West Alternatives 1 and 2, Central Alternatives 1A and 1B).....	4-229
Figure 4.6-1b. Study Areas Considered for Indirect Impacts (Central Alternative 1B Modified)	4-230
Figure 4.6-2. US 60 Interchange Indirect Study Area – Central Alternatives 1A and 1B.....	4-233
Figure 4.6-3. US 60 Interchange – Central Alternatives 1A and 1B – Indirect Impacts to Resources.....	4-234

Figure 4.6-4. US 60 Interchange Indirect Study Area – Central Alternative 1B Modified.....	4-236
Figure 4.6-5. US 60 Interchange – Central Alternatives 1B Modified – Indirect Impacts to Resources.....	4-237
Figure 4.6-6. US 41 Interchange Indirect Study Area – Central Alternatives 1B Modified.....	4-239
Figure 4.6-7. US 41 Interchange – Central Alternatives 1B Modified – Indirect Impacts to Resources.....	4-240
Figure 4.6-8. Cumulative Impacts Study Areas.....	4-242
Figure 4.6-9. Other Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects	4-246
Figure 5.2-1. Green River NWR CPA and I-69 Corridors.....	5-14

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES.4-1. Public Open Houses	ES-14
Table ES.5-1. Summary of Impacts.....	ES-18
Table ES.6-1. Summary of Mitigation and Commitments.....	ES-24
Table 2.2-1. Indiana and Kentucky Crash Rates (2014 – 2016).....	2-7
Table 3.2-1. Screening Criteria Summary	3-7
Table 3.2-2. Summary-Level Corridor Comparison.....	3-9
Table 3.2-3. West Corridor 1 Bridge Scenario Comparison.....	3-16
Table 3.2-4. West Corridor 2 Bridge Scenario Comparison.....	3-18
Table 3.2-5. Central Corridor 1 Bridge Scenario Comparison.....	3-19
Table 4.1-1. 2045 Forecasted Traffic Volumes (VPD).....	4-10
Table 4.1-2. 2045 Peak Period Through Traffic Travel Times.....	4-10
Table 4.1-3. Crash Rates by Highway Type Classification	4-17
Table 4.1-4. Proposed Access.....	4-20
Table 4.1-5. Roadways Severed or Crossed by Build Alternatives.....	4-21
Table 4.1-6. River Commerce Annual Summary (2016).....	4-34
Table 4.1-7. Lock Usage.....	4-35
Table 4.2-1. Existing Land Use Impacts	4-57
Table 4.2-2. Impacts to Managed Lands	4-63
Table 4.2-3. County Demographic Data in 2015.....	4-66
Table 4.2-4. Minorities in 2015	4-66
Table 4.2-5. Project Area Census Tract Demographic Data in 2015.....	4-68
Table 4.2-6. Census Tract Race and Ethnicity Data in 2015.....	4-68
Table 4.2-7. Census Tract Income and Title 1 School Data in 2015	4-69
Table 4.2-8. U.S. Census Demographic Data for the Project Area Community of Comparison.....	4-72
Table 4.2-9. Residential Relocations	4-78
Table 4.2-10. Environmental Justice Effects.....	4-87
Table 4.2-11. Land Use Impacts.....	4-89
Table 4.2-12. Relocations by Type and Alternative.....	4-90
Table 4.2-13. Visual Quality Evaluation.....	4-99

Table 4.2-14. Residential Viewsheds	4-104
Table 4.2-15. Natural Viewsheds.....	4-105
Table 4.2-16. Rural Viewsheds.....	4-106
Table 4.2-17. Floodplain Viewsheds.....	4-107
Table 4.2-18. Urban Viewsheds	4-108
Table 4.2-19. Comparison of Visual Quality	4-113
Table 4.2-20. Comparison of Visual Resource Change and Degree of Visual Impact.....	4-114
Table 4.2-21. Projected VMT for Design Year 2045	4-117
Table 4.2-22. INDOT and KYTC’s Criteria for Noise Evaluation.....	4-122
Table 4.2-23. Noise Receptor Impacts	4-123
Table 4.2-24. Summary of Barrier Analyses.....	4-124
Table 4.2-25. Farmland Impacts.....	4-138
Table 4.2-26. Operational Energy Consumption in Design Year 2045.....	4-142
Table 4.2-27. Sites with Recognized Environmental Conditions	4-144
Table 4.3-1. Annual Property Tax Revenue.....	4-150
Table 4.3-2. Changes in Annual Property Tax Revenue	4-151
Table 4.4-1. Waterways Listed on the 303(d) List of Impaired Waters.....	4-160
Table 4.4-2. Impacts to Surface Water Resources	4-161
Table 4.4-3. Summary of Public Water Supplies	4-166
Table 4.4-4. Groundwater and Public Water Supply Impacts.....	4-167
Table 4.4-5. Floodplain/Floodway Impacts	4-172
Table 4.4-6. Wetland Impacts.....	4-178
Table 4.4-7. Habitat Impacts	4-187
Table 4.4-8. Federally Listed Species with Potential for Occurrence within the Study Area and their Associated Habitats	4-192
Table 4.4-9. Potential Impacts to Federally Listed Species Habitat	4-196
Table 4.4-10. Summary of Effect Determinations for Federally Listed Species	4-199
Table 4.4-11. State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring within the Study Area and their Associated Habitats.....	4-202
Table 4.4-12. Potential Impacts to State-Listed Species Habitat.....	4-207
Table 4.5-1. NRHP-Listed and NHRP-Eligible Aboveground Properties within the APE.....	4-212
Table 4.5-2. Effects on NRHP-Listed or Eligible Aboveground Properties.....	4-221
Table 4.6-1. Indirect Impacts – US 60 Interchange (Central Alternatives 1A and 1B).....	4-235
Table 4.6-2. Indirect Impacts – US 60 Interchange (Central Alternatives 1B Modified)	4-238
Table 4.6-3. Indirect Impacts – US 41 Interchange (Central Alternatives 1B Modified)	4-238
Table 4.6-4. Indirect Impacts from Induced Development - Summary.....	4-241
Table 4.6-5. List of Other Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects	4-244

Table 4.6-6. Cumulative Impacts to Wetlands.....	4-247
Table 4.6-7. Cumulative Impacts to Streams	4-248
Table 4.6-8. Cumulative Impacts to Forests.....	4-249
Table 4.6-9. Cumulative Impacts to Aboveground Historic Properties	4-251
Table 4.6-10. Cumulative Impacts to Farmland	4-252
Table 4.8-1. Estimated Project Costs (in millions)	4-259
Table 4.8-2. Revenue Assumptions and Estimates.....	4-261
Table 4.9-1. Required Permits or Approvals/Concurrences	4-263
Table 5.2-1. Section 4(f) Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges with Potential Impacts in the Project Area.....	5-6
Table 5.2-2. Section 4(f) Permanent, Temporary, or Constructive Use of Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuges	5-17
Table 5.3-1. Section 4(f) Historic Resources Within the I-69 ORX Project Area	5-18
Table 5.3-2. Section 4(f) Use of Historic Resources by Alternative	5-30
Table 5.5-1. Summary of US 41 Bridges Avoidance Alternatives	5-39
Table 5.5-2. Summary of US 41 Bridges Minimization Alternatives	5-42
Table 6.1-1. Summary of Impacts	6-3
Table 8.1-1. Public Open Houses.....	8-4
Table 8.1-2. DEIS Comments Received, by Type, During Comment Period	8-8
Table 8.1-3. DEIS Comment Categories and Number of Comments Received	8-9
Table 8.1-4. Single Preferred Alternative Comments Received, by Type, During Comment Period	8-10
Table 8.1-5. Single Preferred Alternative Comment Categories and Number of Comments Received.....	8-11
Table 8.1-6. Community Conversations.....	8-12
Table 8.1-7. Presentations or Events with EJ Partners	8-13
Table 8.2-1. Agencies and Tribes Invited to be Cooperating or Participating Agencies	8-18

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A-1	West Alternative 1 Environmental Features Maps
Appendix A-2	West Alternative 2 Environmental Features Maps
Appendix A-3	Central Alternative 1A/1B (Preferred) Environmental Features Maps
Appendix A-4	Central Alternative 1B Modified (Selected) Environmental Features Maps
Appendix A-5	Central Alternative 1B Modified (Selected) Parcels Maps
Appendix B-1	<i>Screening Report</i>
Appendix B-2	<i>Screening Report Supplement</i>
Appendix C-1	<i>Public Involvement Plan</i>
Appendix C-2	Public Outreach Materials
Appendix C-3	Public Comments
Appendix C-4	Monthly Public Inquiry Reports

Appendix C-5	River Cities Advisory Committee (RCAC) Meeting Records
Appendix C-6	Environmental Justice (EJ) Subcommittee Records
Appendix C-7	Digital Outreach and Social Media Reports
Appendix C-8	Small Group Presentations
Appendix C-9	Business Information Survey (BIS) Summary
Appendix C-10	DEIS Comments and Responses
Appendix C-11	Single Preferred Alternative Comments and Responses
Appendix D-1	<i>Traffic Technical Report</i>
Appendix E-1	<i>Socioeconomic Technical Report</i>
Appendix E-2	<i>Environmental Justice Technical Report</i>
Appendix F-1	<i>Visual Impact Assessment Technical Report</i>
Appendix G-1	<i>Noise Impact Analysis</i>
Appendix G-2	<i>Noise Impact Analysis Addendum</i>
Appendix H-1	Farmland Coordination
Appendix H-2	Notice of Entry and Early Coordination
Appendix H-3	Interagency Committee (IAC) Meeting Records
Appendix H-4	IAC Comments and Summaries
Appendix H-5	U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) Correspondence
Appendix H-6	Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) Office of Land Quality Correspondence
Appendix H-7	U.S. Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) Correspondence
Appendix H-8	Local Agency Correspondence
Appendix H-9	Kentucky Division of Forestry Correspondence
Appendix I-1	<i>Phase I Environmental Site Assessment</i>
Appendix J-1	<i>Waters of the U.S. Report</i>
Appendix J-2	<i>Waters of the U.S. Report (2019)</i>
Appendix J-3	<i>Waters of the U.S. Report Addendum</i>
Appendix K-1	<i>Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Species Habitat Assessment and Wildlife Technical Report</i>
Appendix K-2	<i>Ground-Truthing of Side Scan Sonar River Bed Substrate Classification Technical Report</i>
Appendix K-3	<i>Freshwater Mussel Survey Report</i>
Appendix K-4	<i>Biological Assessment</i>
Appendix K-5	<i>Biological Opinion</i>
Appendix L-1	<i>Phase I History/Architecture Survey for Evansville, Vanderburgh County, Indiana</i>
Appendix L-2	<i>History/Architecture Survey for Henderson, Henderson County, Kentucky</i>
Appendix L-3	<i>Finding of Adverse Effect and Addendum 36 CFR 800.11(e)</i>
Appendix L-4	<i>Additional History/Architecture Survey for Henderson, Henderson County</i>

Appendix L-5	<i>Addendum Report: Description and Recommendations for the Historical WPA Quarry, Henderson County, Kentucky</i>
Appendix L-6	<i>Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Letter (July 1, 2021)</i>
Appendix M-1	<i>Indirect and Cumulative Technical Report</i>
Appendix N-1	<i>Technical Memorandum: I-69 ORX Section 4(f) Property Recommendations Relative to Parks, Recreation Areas, and Refuges</i>
Appendix N-2	<i>Addendum 1 to Technical Memorandum: I-69 ORX Section 4(f) Property Recommendations Relative to Parks, Recreation Areas, and Refuges</i>
Appendix N-3	<i>Technical Memorandum, I-69 ORX Section 6(f) Properties Within the Project Area</i>
Appendix O-1	<i>US 41 Existing Bridges Evaluation Report</i>
Appendix P-1	<i>Navigation Simulation Modeling Report and Navigation Clearance Study</i>
Appendix Q-1	<i>Project Cost Estimates Memorandum</i>
Appendix Q-2	<i>FHWA Cost Estimate Review Final Report</i>
Appendix R-1	<i>Ohio River Modeling Potential Backwater Impacts – Indiana</i>
Appendix S-1	<i>Value Engineering Study Report – Final</i>
Appendix T-1	<i>Planning Study Report (Final) for the I-69 Ohio River Crossing Project, Henderson: Section 1</i>